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## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS
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## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT ONE

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for Barima/Waini, District One that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of eighteen thousand, nine hundred and fifty-two $(18,952)$ electors, which represents two (2) geographical constituency seats of the National Assembly was completed on $15^{\text {th }}$ May 2020. A total of ninety-nine (99) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 12,111 and 12,060 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, 99 observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, missing statutory documents, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies and irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act (ROPA) Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include:
i. Thirty-six (36) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 4 (certificate of employment) were missing;
ii. Sixteen (16) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing and;
iii. Twelve (12) instances where extra ballot papers were found in ballot boxes without the requisite documentation.
4. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were twenty-nine (29) instances of voter impersonation. The party alleged that based on their investigations in this region; there were ten (10) instances where deceased persons appeared to have voted and nineteen (19) instances where electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
5. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
6. Approximately thirty-three (33) ballot boxes stand affected due to a total of ninetythree (93) abnormalities, anomalies, and alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $35 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections are associated with boxes that stand to be impacted due to either anomalies or voter impersonation. Specifically, $20 \%$ of the votes cast are impacted by anomalies, while $13 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and $2 \%$ is impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
7. In this District, sixteen (16) Poll books were recorded as missing and fifty-two (52) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. In addition, the actual certificates of employment (Form 4) in thirtysix (36) cases and sixteen (16) missing oaths of identity (Form 19) were not available to support entries in the poll books. As a consequence, it cannot be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements.
8. Another procedural error is noteworthy; two (2) ballots were rejected for want of official marl (unstamped ballots).
9. Potentially, 2,407 votes in twenty-three (23) ballot boxes stand affected by anomalies/irregularities, which is approximately $20 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District One. These anomalies/irregularities impact $19.2 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $28.2 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, 19.9 \% of votes for PPP/C, 20.8\% of votes cast for PRP, and $33.3 \%$ of votes cast for URP.
10. On the other hand, the sum of 1,593 votes in nine ( 9 ) ballot boxes are impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $13 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District One. Given the fact that it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the nine (9) ballot boxes, $16.1 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $16.5 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, 11.7\% of votes for PPP/C, 8.3\% of votes cast for PRP, and $16.7 \%$ of votes cast for URP are impacted.
11. Only one (1) ballot box or less than $2 \%$ of votes cast in District One are impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation.
12. Against that backdrop, if the ballot boxes that are affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 7,917 votes cast with a distribution of 2,506 votes for APNU+AFC, 93 votes for LJP, 5,298 votes for PPP/C, 17 votes for PRP and 3 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report. 13. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District One clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results in this District meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT ONE (1).

| PARTICULARS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. OF } \\ & \text { BALLOT } \\ & \text { BOXES } \end{aligned}$ | No. OF ELECTORS | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VOTES | S CAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | APNU + AFC |  | LJP |  | PPP/C |  | PRP |  | URP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | $\%$ of VOTES CAST | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST | VOTES | $\%$ of VOTES CAST | VOTES | \% of vOTES CAST | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST | VOTES | $\%$ of VOTES CAST |  |
| ACTUAL COUNT | 99 | 18,952 | 3,909 | 32.3\% | 170 | 1.4\% | 8,002 | 66.1\% | 24 | 0.20\% | 6 | 0.05\% | 12,111 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 23 | 3,948 | 756 | 19.3\% | 48 | 28.2\% | 1,596 | 19.9\% | 5 | 20.8\% | 2 | 33.3\% | 2,407 | 19.9\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1007, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1027, 1034, 1038, 1039, 1042, 1047, 1049, 1055, 1068, 1069, 1075 \& 1090. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 9 | 2,466 | 629 | 16.1\% | 28 | 16.5\% | 933 | 11.7\% | 2 | 8.3\% | 1 | 16.7\% | 1,593 | 13.2\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 1020, 1029, 1037, $1052,1054,1056,1077,1085$ \& 1086 |
| BALLOT BOXES <br> WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 1 | 290 | 18 | 0.5\% | 1 | 0.6\% | 175 | 2.2\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 194 | 1.6\% | BALLOT BOX AFFECTED: 1043 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 33 | 6,704 | 1,403 | 35.9\% | 77 | 45.3\% | 2,704 | 33.8\% | 7 | 29.2\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 4,194 | 34.6\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITHOUT ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES or VOTER IMPERSONATION | 66 | 12,248 | 2,506 | 64.1\% | 93 | 54.7\% | 5,298 | 66.2\% | 17 | 70.8\% | 3 | 50.00\% | 7,917 | 65.4\% |  |

## NOTE:

TABLE 1
ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT TWO

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for Pomeroon/Supenaam, District Two that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of thirtyseven thousand, nine hundred and seventy-nine (37,979) electors, which represents two (2) geographical constituency seats of the National Assembly was completed on 18th May 2020. A total of one hundred and thirty-five (135) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 26,621 and 26,491 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, one hundred and thirty-five (135) observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies/irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act (ROPA) Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include thirty-five (35) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing.
4. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were three hundred and three (303) instances of voter impersonation. The party alleged that based on their investigations in this region; there were twelve (12) instances where deceased persons appeared to have voted and two hundred and ninety-one (291) instances where electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
5. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
6. A total of eighty-six (86) ballot boxes stand affected due to a total of three hundred and thirty-eight (338) anomalies and/or alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $75 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections are associated with boxes that stand to be impacted due to either anomalies and/or voter impersonation. Specifically, $3 \%$ of the votes cast were impacted by anomalies, while $55 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and $17 \%$ impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
7. In District Two, seven (7) Poll books were recorded as missing and thirty-five (35) instances where the evidence of polling activities; oaths of identity (Form 19) was not recorded in the available poll books. As a consequence, it cannot be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements.
8. Another procedural error is noteworthy; seventeen (17) ballots were rejected for want of official mark (unstamped ballots).
9. Potentially, 745 votes in three (3) ballot boxes stand impacteded by anomalies/irregularities; this represents $3 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Two. These anomalies/irregularities impact $3.5 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $2.9 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $2 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $2.5 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $2.8 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $5.6 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, and $6.3 \%$ of votes cast for URP.
10. On the other hand, a total of 14,715 votes in sixty-six (66) ballot boxes were impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $55 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District Two. Although it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the sixty-six (66) ballot boxes, $40 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $44.5 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $51 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $31.4 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $59.8 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $52.6 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $44.4 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, and $54.7 \%$ of votes cast for URP are impacted.
11. Additionally, there were seventeen (17) ballot boxes or $17 \%$ of votes cast in District Two that are impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. These seventeen (17) ballot boxes impacted the votes cast for List of Candidates as follows: $15.3 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $14.7 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $\mathbf{1 5 . 2} \%$
of votes cast for CG, $14.9 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $17.6 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $8.8 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $33.3 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, and $17.2 \%$ of votes cast for URP.
12. Against this backdrop, if the ballot boxes affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 6,708 votes cast with a distribution of 35 votes for ANUG, 2,787 votes for APNU+AFC, 48 votes for CG, 62 votes for LJP, 3,737 votes for PPP/C, 22 votes for PRP, 3 votes for TCI and 14 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
13. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District Two clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results for District Two, Pomeroon/Supenaam, meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT TWO (2).

| Particulars | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { No. OF } \\ \text { BALLOT } \\ \text { BOXES } \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { No. OF } \\ \text { ELECTORS } \end{array}\right\|$ | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL VOTES CAST |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Votes | SCAST |  | PRP |  | TCI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ANUG |  | APNU + AFC |  | CG |  | LJP |  | PPP/C |  |  |  | URP |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | $\%$ of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { voTES } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VOTES | $\%$ of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VOTES | $\%$ of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES |  |  |  | VOTES |  | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST | VOTES |  |  |
| ACTUAL COUNT | 135 | 37,979 | 85 | 0.3\% | 7,340 | 27.6\% | 151 | 0.6\% | 121 | 0.5\% | 18,785 | 70.6\% | 57 | 0.21\% | 18 | 0.1\% | 64 | 0.24\% | 26,621 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 3 | 1,006 | 3 | 3.5\% | 214 | 2.9\% | 3 | 2.0\% | 3 | 2.5\% | 517 | 2.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 1 | 5.6\% | 4 | 6.3\% | 745 | 2.8\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 2078, 2096 \& 2099 |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 66 | 20,664 | 34 | 40.0\% | 3,263 | 44.5\% | 77 | 51.0\% | 38 | 31.4\% | 11,230 | 59.8\% | 30 | 52.6\% | 8 | 44.4\% | 35 | 54.7\% | 14,715 | 55.3\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 2001, 2009, 2018, 2025, 2035, 2036, 2038, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2046, 2047, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2057, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2076, 2079, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2088, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2110, 2112, 2113, 2114, $2115,2116,2119,2121,2123,2124,2125,2126,2127$, $2128,2130,2131,2132 \& 2133$ |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 17 | 6,186 | 13 | 15.3\% | 1,076 | 14.7\% | 23 | 15.2\% | 18 | 14.9\% | 3,301 | 17.6\% | 5 | 8.8\% | 6 | 33.3\% | 11 | 17.2\% | 4,453 | 16.7\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 2044, 2045, 2056, 2058, 2059, 2080, 2081, 2086, 2087, 2090, 2091, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2111, 2120 \& 2122 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 86 | 27,856 | 50 | 58.8\% | 4,553 | 62.0\% | 103 | 68.2\% | 59 | 48.8\% | 15,048 | 80.1\% | 35 | 61.4\% | 15 | 83.3\% | 50 | 78.1\% | 19,913 | 74.8\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITHOUT ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES or VOTER IMPERSONATION | 49 | 10,123 | 35 | 41.2\% | 2,787 | 38.0\% | 48 | 31.8\% | 62 | 51.2\% | 3,737 | 19.9\% | 22 | 38.6\% | 3 | 16.7\% | 14 | 21.88\% | 6,708 | 25.2\% |  |

NOTE:
ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT <br> THREE

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for Essequibo Islands/West Demerara, District Three that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of one hundred thousand seven hundred and fifty-eight $(100,758)$ electors, which represents three (3) geographical constituency seats of the National Assembly was completed on 4th June 2020. A total of three hundred and fifty-five (355) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 72,592 and 72,457 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, three hundred and fifty-five (355) observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising, anomalies/irregularities, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies/irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act (ROPA) Cap 1:03. Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2 nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include:
i. Two (2) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 4 (certificate of employment) were missing;
ii. Forty-eight (48) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing;
iii. Eleven (11) instances where extra ballot papers were found or missing from ballot boxes without the requisite documentation and;
iv. Three (3) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 6 (Appointment of Proxy) were missing.
4. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were nine hundred and seventy-seven (977) instances of voter
impersonation. The party alleged that based on their investigations in this region; there were six (6) instances where deceased persons appeared to have voted and nine hundred and seventy-one (971) instances where electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
5. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
6. A total of two hundred and twelve (212) ballot boxes stand affected by a total of one thousand and forty-one $(1,041)$ anomalies and/or alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $68 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections stand to be impacted due to either anomalies and/or voter impersonation. Specifically, 4\% of the votes cast were impacted by anomalies, while $61 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and 4\% impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
7. In District Three, seven (7) Poll books were recorded as missing and sixty-four (64) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. Specifically, two (2) certificates of employment (Form 4), forty-eight (48) oaths of identity (Form 19), and three (3) Appointment of Proxies were not available to support entries in the poll books. As a consequence, it could not be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements.
8. Another procedural error is noteworthy, three (3) ballots were rejected for want of official mark (unstamped ballots).
9. Potentially, 2,517 votes in twelve (12) ballot boxes stand to be impacted by anomalies and irregularities; this represents $4 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Three. These anomalies and irregularities impact 4.3\% of votes cast for ANUG, $5 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $3.4 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $2.7 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $2.9 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $2.6 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, $5.4 \%$ of votes cast for TNM, and $2.3 \%$ of votes cast for URP.
10. On the other hand, a total of 44,225 votes in one hundred and ninety (190) ballot boxes were impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or 61\% percent of all the votes cast in District Three. Although it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated
the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the one hundred and ninety (190) ballot boxes, $64.6 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $47.1 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $68.3 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $67.7 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, 64.7\% of votes cast for PRP, $64.9 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, $69.6 \%$ of votes cast for TNM, and $67.4 \%$ of votes cast for URP were impacted.
11. Additionally, there were ten (10) ballot boxes or $4 \%$ of votes cast in District Three that are impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. Of the ten (10) ballot boxes, $3 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $3.8 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $3.1 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $3.4 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $3.7 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $9.1 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, and $3.6 \%$ of votes cast for TNM are impacted.
12. Against this backdrop, if the ballot boxes affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 23,306 votes cast with a distribution of 85 votes for ANUG, 10,503 votes for APNU+AFC, 80 votes for CG, 12,556 votes for PPP/C, 39 votes for PRP, 18 votes for TCI, 12 votes for TNM, and 13 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
13. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District Three clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results for District Three, Essequibo Islands/West Demerara meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT THREE (3).

| particulars | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No. OF } \\ \text { BALLOT } \\ \text { BOXES } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { No. OF } \\ \text { ELECTORS } \end{array}$ | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total votes cast |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ANUG |  | APNU + AFC |  | CG |  | vote |  | CAST ${ }^{\text {PRP }}$ |  | TCI |  | TNM |  | URP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { vOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { vOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { vOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { votEs } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| ACTUAL COUNT | 355 | 100,758 | 302 | 0.4\% | 23,808 | 32.8\% | 319 | 0.4\% | 47,851 | 65.9\% | 136 | 0.2\% | 77 | 0.11\% | 56 | 0.1\% | 43 | 0.06\% | 72,592 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH <br> ANOMALIES/ <br> IRREGULARITIES | 12 | 3,308 | 13 | 4.3\% | 1,186 | 5.0\% | 11 | 3.4\% | 1,297 | 2.7\% | 4 | 2.9\% | 2 | 2.6\% | 3 | 5.4\% | 1 | 2.3\% | 2,517 | 3.5\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 3010, 3012, 3037, 3067, 3100, $3171,3314,3317,3319,3322,3323, \& 3355$. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 190 | 61,512 | 195 | 64.6\% | 11,216 | 47.1\% | 218 | 68.3\% | 32,390 | 67.7\% | 88 | 64.7\% | 50 | 64.9\% | 39 | 69.6\% | 29 | 67.4\% | 44,225 | 60.9\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 3013, 3019, 3032, 3040, 3050, 3051, 3052, 3055, 3056, 3057, 3062, 3063, 3064, 3065, 3066, $3069,3070,3071,3073,3075,3078,3079,3080,3083,3084$, 3085, 3086, 3087, 3089, 3090, 3091, 3092, 3093, 3094, $3095,3097,3098,3101,3102,3103,3105,3106,3107,3108$, $3109,3110,3111,3113,3115,3116,3117,3118,3119,3120,3121$, $3122,3123,3124,3125,3126,3127,3129,3130,3131,3132$, $3133,3134,3136,3137,3138,3142,3149,3152,3154,3155$, $3157,3158,3159,3160,3161,3162,3163,3165,3166,3167$, $3168,3175,3177,3178,3179,3180,3182,3183,3185,3186$, $3192,3193,3196,3198,3199,3200,3202,3203,3207,3208$, $3209,3210,3211,3212,3213,3214,3215,3216,3217,3218$, 3220, 3221, 3222, 3224, 3225, 3227, 3228, 3229, 3249, 3252, $3255,3257,3258,3259,3260,3261,3263,3264,3265,3266$, $3267,3268,3270,3271,3272,3273,3274,3275,3276,3278$, $3279,3280,3283,3285,3286,3288,3289,3290,3291,3292$, 3294, 3295, 3296, 3297, 3298, 3299, 3300, 3301, 3302, 3306, 3310, 3311, 3326, 3327, 3328, 3329, 3330, 3331, 3332, 3333, $3334,3336,3337,3338,3339,3340,3342,3343,3346,3347$, $3348,3349,3350,3351, \& 3353$. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH <br> ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 10 | 3,417 | 9 | 3.0\% | 903 | 3.8\% | 10 | 3.1\% | 1,608 | 3.4\% | 5 | 3.7\% | 7 | 9.1\% | 2 | 3.6\% |  | 0.0\% | 2,544 | 3.5\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: $3081,3088,3099,3104,3128$, $3191,3195,3204,3232, \& 3269$. |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 212 | 68,237 | 217 | 71.9\% | 13,305 | 55.9\% | 239 | 74.9\% | 35,295 | 73.8\% | 97 | 71.3\% | 59 | 76.6\% | 44 | 78.6\% | 30 | 69.8\% | 49,286 | 67.9\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| BALLOT BOXES without anomalies/ IRREGULARITIES or VOTER IMPERSONATION | 143 | 32,521 | 85 | 28.1\% | 10,503 | 44.1\% | 80 | 25.1\% | 12,556 | 26.2\% | 39 | 28.7\% | 18 | 23.4\% | 12 | 21.4\% | 13 | 16.88\% | 23,306 | 32.1\% |  |

## NOTE:

ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT FOUR

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for Demerara/Mahaica, District Four that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of two hundred and eighty-five thousand, six hundred and seventeen $(285,617)$ electors, which represents seven (7) geographical constituency seats of the National Assembly was completed on 7th June 2020. A total of eight hundred and seventynine (879) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 202,077 and 201,575 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, 879 observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, and allegations of voter impersonation and unreconciled ballot boxes. Anomalies and/or irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act (ROPA) Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include:
i. Eighteen (18) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 4 (certificate of employment) were missing;
ii. Fifty-six (56) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing;
iii. Eighty-two (82) instances where extra ballot papers were found in or missing from ballot boxes without the requisite documentation and;
iv. Forty-seven (47) instances where the statutory documents required to reconcile ballots cast at the respective polling stations were missing. The statutory documents are as follows: marked lists of electors, folios, counterfoils, unused ordinary and tendered ballots, spoiled and rejected ballot papers, poll books
4. The Commission intended to reconcile the ballots cast for List of Candidates with statutory documents contained in each ballot box as expressed in the Order 60 of 2020. However, during the recount exercise, an unusual pattern of missing statutory documents was discovered in forty-seven (47) ballot boxes from Polling stations in Sub-District East Coast Demerara. The absence of those key statutory documents rendered the required reconciliation of 11,566 votes allocated to the nine List of Candidates impossible and consequently void of accuracy. It is imperative to clarify that these missing documents were not contained in the Returning Officer's package (PE 2) dispatched to the Returning Officer's Office. Furthermore, checks of the bags with non-sensitive electoral materials did not recover any of the missing documents.
5. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were one thousand, seven hundred and six $(1,706)$ instances of voter impersonation. The party alleged that based on their investigations in this region; there were sixteen (16) instances where deceased persons appeared to have voted and one thousand, six hundred and ninety $(1,690)$ instances where electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
6. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
7. A total of four hundred and sixty-six (466) ballot boxes stand affected due to a total of one thousand, eight hundred and sixty-two $(1,862)$ anomalies and/or alleged voter impersonation and unreconciled ballot boxes. In other words, approximately $55 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections stand to be impacted due to either anomalies and/or voter impersonation or unreconciled ballot boxes. Specifically, $7.2 \%$ of the votes cast were impacted by anomalies, $39.2 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, $3.4 \%$ impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation, and $5.7 \%$ impacted by unreconciled ballot boxes.
8. In District Four, one hundred and thirty-eight (138) Poll books were recorded as missing and one hundred and fifty-six (156) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. Specifically, eighteen (18) certificates of employment (Form 4), fifty-six (56) oaths of identity (Form 19)
were not available to support entries in the poll books. As a consequence, it could not be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements. Also, the recount recorded eighty-two (82) cases of extra or missing ballots from ballot boxes.
9. Another procedural error is noteworthy; three hundred and forty-four (344) ballots were rejected for want of official mark (unstamped ballots).
10. Potentially, 14,510 votes in fifty-nine (59) ballot boxes stand to be impacted by anomalies/irregularities; this represents $7 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Four. These anomalies/irregularities impact $7.4 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $8.6 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $5.2 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $4.2 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $5.1 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $4 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $8.6 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, $5.9 \%$ of votes cast for TNM, and $10.2 \%$ of votes cast for URP.
11. On the other hand, a total of 79,137 votes in three hundred and thirty-three (333) ballot boxes were impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $39 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District Four. Although it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the three hundred and thirty-three (333) ballot boxes, 38.2\% of votes cast for ANUG, $26.2 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $37.1 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $46.4 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $57.9 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $43.6 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $39.3 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, $39.3 \%$ of votes cast for TNM, and $44.9 \%$ of votes cast for URP were impacted.
12. Further, a total of 6,826 votes in twenty-seven (27) ballot boxes or $3 \%$ of votes cast in District Four were impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. Of the twenty-seven (27) ballot boxes, $4.3 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $2.1 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $1.8 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $2.9 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $5.2 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $5.5 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $3.4 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, and $3.1 \%$ of votes cast for URP were impacted. Votes cast for TNM were not impacted.
13. Additionally, a total of 11,566 votes in forty-seven (47) ballot boxes or $6 \%$ of votes cast in District Four were impacted by the absence of statutory documents to reconciled ballots cast for the contesting parties. Of the forty-seven (47) ballot boxes, $5.8 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $1.9 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $6.8 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $6 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $11.2 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $6.5 \%$ of votes
cast for PRP, $5.4 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, $5.9 \%$ of votes cast for TNM, and $4.1 \%$ of votes cast for URP were impacted.
14. Against this backdrop, if the ballot boxes affected by the anomalies/irregularities, voter impersonation, and unreconciled ballots boxes are extracted from the process, the total will be 90,038 votes cast with a distribution of 632 votes for ANUG, 71,479 votes for APNU+AFC, 458 votes for CG, 306 votes cast for LJP, 16,696 votes for PPP/C, 162 votes for PRP, 202 votes for TCI, 66 votes for TNM, and 37 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
15. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation and unreconciled ballot boxes identified in District Four clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results for District Four, Mahaica/Berbice meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT FOUR (4).

| PaRTICULARS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { No. OF } \\ \text { BALLOT } \\ \text { BOXES } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. OF } \\ \text { ELLCTORS } \end{gathered}$ | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL VOTES CAST |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VOTESCA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ANUG |  | APNU + AFC |  | cG |  | LJP |  | PPP/C |  | PRP |  | TCI |  | TNM |  | URP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { vortes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{array}$ | VOTES | \% of vores CAST | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { vores } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { vores } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { VoTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\%$ of votes CAST | VOTES | $\%$ of <br> votes <br> CAST | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { vorEs } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VOTES | $\%$ of <br> vortes <br> CAST |  |
| ACTUAL COUNT | 879 | 285,617 | 1,426 | 0.7\% | 116,941 | 57.9\% | 935 | 0.5\% | 755 | 0.4\% | 80,920 | 40.0\% | 401 | 0.2\% | 466 | 0.23\% | 135 | 0.1\% | 98 | 0.05\% | 202,077 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 59 | 20,132 | 106 | 7.4\% | 10,114 | 8.6\% | 49 | 5.2\% | 32 | 4.2\% | 4,135 | 5.1\% | 16 | 4.0\% | 40 | 8.6\% | 8 | 5.9\% | 10 | 10.2\% | 14,510 | 7.2\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: SEE TABLE 1.1 ANNEXED. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 333 | 112,112 | 545 | 38.2\% | 30,625 | 26.2\% | 347 | 37.1\% | 350 | 46.4\% | 46,815 | 57.9\% | 175 | 43.6\% | 183 | 39.3\% | 53 | 39.3\% | 44 | 44.9\% | 79,137 | 39.2\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: SEE TABLE 1.2 ANNEXED. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 27 | 9,638 | 61 | 4.3\% | 2,511 | 2.1\% | 17 | 1.8\% | 22 | 2.9\% | 4,174 | 5.2\% | 22 | 5.5\% | 16 | 3.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 3 | 3.1\% | 6,826 | 3.4\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: SEE TABLE 1.3 ANNEXED. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH MISSING STATUTORY DOCUMENTS | 47 | 16,218 | 82 | 5.8\% | 2,212 | 1.9\% | 64 | 6.8\% | 45 | 6.0\% | 9,100 | 11.2\% | 26 | 6.5\% | 25 | 5.4\% | 8 | 5.9\% | 4 | 4.1\% | 11,566 | 5.7\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: SEE TABLE 1.4 ANNEXED. |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 466 | 158,100 | 794 | 55.7\% | 45,462 | 38.9\% | 477 | 51.0\% | 449 | 59.5\% | 64,224 | 79.4\% | 239 | 59.6\% | 264 | 56.7\% | 69 | 51.1\% | 61 | 62.2\% | 112,039 | $55.4 \%$ | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/RRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITHOUT ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES or VOTER IMPERSONATION | 413 | 127,517 | 632 | 44.3\% | 71,479 | 61.1\% | 458 | 49.0\% | 306 | 40.5\% | 16,696 | 20.6\% | 162 | 40\% | 202 | 43.3\% | 66 | 48.9\% | 37 | 37.8\% | 90,038 | 44.6\% |  |

ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT FIVE

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for Mahaica/Berbice, District Five that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of forty-four thousand, six hundred and sixty-three $(44,663)$ electors, which represents two (2) geographical constituency seats of the National Assembly was completed on 25th May 2020. A total of one hundred and thirty-five (158) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 33,120 and 33,004 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, one hundred and thirty-five (158) observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, missing statutory documents, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies/irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include:
i. One (1) instance where evidence to validate the usage of Form 4 (certificate of employment) was missing;
ii. Sixty (60) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing and;
iii. Six (6) instances where extra ballot papers were found or missing from ballot boxes without the requisite documentation.
4. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were four hundred and six (406) instances of voter impersonation. The party alleged that based on their investigations in this region; there were seven (7) instances where deceased persons appeared to have voted and three hundred and
ninety-nine (399) instances where electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
5. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
6. Approximately sixty-nine (69) ballot boxes stand affected due to a total of four hundred and seventy-three (473) anomalies and alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $51 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections are associated with boxes that stand to be impacted due to either anomalies or voter impersonation. Specifically, $3 \%$ of the votes cast are impacted by anomalies, while $42 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and $5 \%$ are impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
7. In this District, two (2) Poll books were recorded as missing and sixty-seven (67) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. In addition, the actual certificate of employment (Form 4) in one (1) case and sixty-six (66) missing oaths of identity (Form 19) were not available to support entries in the poll books. As a consequence, it cannot be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements.
8. Another procedural error is noteworthy; ten (10) ballots were rejected for want of official mark (unstamped ballots).
9. Potentially, 1,088 votes in five (5) ballot boxes stand to be impacted by anomalies/irregularities, which is approximately $3 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Five. These anomalies/irregularities impact 3.4\% of votes cast for ANUG, $5.6 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $2 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $1.5 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, and $7.7 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, with no impact on the votes cast for TCI, TNM, and URP.
10. On the other hand, the sum of 14,035 votes in fifty-seven (57) ballot boxes are impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $42 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District Five. Given the fact that it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the fifty-seven (57) ballot boxes, $31.5 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $27.4 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $51 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $54.2 \%$ of votes for

PPP/C, $40.4 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $45.5 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, $40 \%$ of votes cast for TNM, and $63.2 \%$ of votes cast for URP are impacted.
11. Additionally, there were seven (7) ballot boxes or $5 \%$ of votes cast in District Five that are impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. Of the seven (7) ballot boxes, $7.9 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $5.9 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $8 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $4.1 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, and $1.9 \%$ of votes cast for PRP with no impact to the votes cast for TCI, TNM, and URP are impacted.
12. Against that backdrop, if the ballot boxes that are affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 16,383 votes cast with a distribution of 51 votes for ANUG, 8,864 votes for APNU+AFC, 39 votes for CG, 7,378 votes for PPP/C, 26 votes for PRP, 12 votes for TCI, 6 votes for TNM, and 7 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
13. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District Five clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results in this District meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT FIVE (5).

| PARTICULARS | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { No. OF } \\ \text { BALLOT } \\ \text { BOXES } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. OF } \\ \text { ELECTORS } \end{gathered}$ | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL VOTES CAST |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ANUG |  | APNU + AFC |  | CG |  | PPP/C |  | PRP |  | TCI |  | TNM |  | URP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | \% of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | $\%$ of VOTES CAST | VOTES | \% of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | $\%$ of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST | VOTES | \% of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | \% of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | \% of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | \% of VOTES |  |
| ACTUAL COUNT | 158 | 44,663 | 89 | 0.3\% | 14,502 | 43.8\% | 100 | 0.3\% | 18,326 | 55.3\% | 52 | 0.2\% | 22 | 0.07\% | 10 | 0.0\% | 19 | 0.1\% | 33,120 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 5 | 1,319 | 3 | 3.4\% | 813 | 5.6\% | 2 | 2.0\% | 266 | 1.5\% | 4 | 7.7\% | - | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 1,088 | 3.3\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 5001, 5025, 5066, 5084, \& 5095 . |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 57 | 19,442 | 28 | 31.5\% | 3,974 | 27.4\% | 51 | 51.0\% | 9,935 | 54.2\% | 21 | 40.4\% | 10 | 45.5\% | 4 | 40.0\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 14,035 | 42.4\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: $5005,5008,5013$, $5014,5015,5016,5017,5026,5033,5040,5041$, $5046,5053,5055,5059,5060,5061,5062,5063$, $5069,5070,5071,5080,5081,5083,5085,5086$, $5105,5106,5109,5111,5112,5114,5118,5123,5125$, $5126,5128,5129,5130,5131,5132,5135,5136,5137$, $5138,5140,5141,5144,5145,5146,5147,5150,5151$, $5152,5153 \& 5154$. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 7 | 2,102 | 7 | 7.9\% | 851 | 5.9\% | 8 | 8.0\% | 747 | 4.1\% | 1 | 1.9\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 1,614 | 4.9\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 5011, 5012, 5044, $5065,5068,5104, \& 5142$. |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 69 | 22,863 | 38 | 42.7\% | 5,638 | 38.9\% | 61 | 61.0\% | 10,948 | 59.7\% | 26 | 50.0\% | 10 | 45.5\% | 4 | 40.0\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 16,737 | 50.5\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITHOUT ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES or VOTER IMPERSONATION | 89 | 21,800 | 51 | 57.3\% | 8,864 | 61.1\% | 39 | 39.0\% | 7,378 | 40.3\% | 26 | 50.0\% | 12 | 54.5\% | 6 | 60.0\% | 7 | 36.8\% | 16,383 | 49.5\% |  |

NOTE:
ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTTTY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
YOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT SIX

16. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for East Berbice/Corentyne, District Six that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of ninety-nine thousand, one hundred and thirty-two $(99,132)$ electors, which represents three (3) geographical constituency seats of the National Assembly was completed on 4th June 2020. A total of three hundred and seventy-eight (378) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 64,567 and 64,434 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
17. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, three hundred and seventy-eight (378) observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies/irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act (ROPA) Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2nd March 2020.
18. The anomalies/irregularities include:
v. Five (5) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 4 (certificate of employment) was missing;
vi. Forty-three (43) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing;
vii. Thirteen (13) instances where extra ballot papers were found or missing from ballot boxes without the requisite documentation and;
viii. Twenty-five (25) instances where electors appeared to have voted with Form 19 but were not listed on the OLE.
19. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were one thousand, one hundred and twenty-eight (1128) instances of
voter impersonation. The party alleged that based on their investigations in this region; there were seven (7) instances where deceased persons appeared to have voted and one thousand, one hundred and twenty-one $(1,121)$ instances where electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted. 20.In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
20. A total of two hundred and seventy-four (274) ballot boxes stand affected due to a total of one thousand, two hundred and fourteen $(1,214)$ anomalies and/or alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $77 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections stand to be impacted due to either anomalies and/or voter impersonation. Specifically, $1 \%$ of the votes cast were impacted by anomalies, while $74 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and $3 \%$ impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
21. In District Six, two (2) Poll books were recorded as missing and eighty-six (86) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. Specifically, five (5) certificates of employment (Form 4), forty-three (43) missing oaths of identity (Form 19) were not available to support entries in the poll books, and twenty-five (25) instances where electors appeared to have voted using Form 19, however, checks revealed that those electors were not listed at that polling station. As a consequence, it could not be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements. Also, the recount recorded thirteen (13) cases of extra or missing ballots from ballot boxes.
22. Potentially, 637 votes in five (5) ballot boxes stand to be impacted by anomalies/irregularities; this represents $1 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Six. These anomalies/irregularities impact 0.6\% of votes cast for ANUG, $2.5 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $1.5 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $0.3 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $1.2 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, and $2.3 \%$ of votes cast for URP with no impact for the votes cast for TCI and TNM.
23. Another procedural error is noteworthy; twelve (12) ballots were rejected for want of official mark (unstamped ballots).
24. On the other hand, a total of 47,625 votes in two hundred and fifty-nine (259) ballot boxes were impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $74 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District Six. Although it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the two hundred and fifty-nine (259) ballot boxes, $70.1 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $50.3 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $76.8 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $84.8 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $77.9 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $68.3 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, $81.3 \%$ of votes cast for TNM, and $68.2 \%$ of votes cast for URP were impacted.
26.Additionally, there were ten (10) ballot boxes or $3 \%$ of votes cast in District Six that were impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. Of the ten (10) ballot boxes, $1.2 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $1 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $4.4 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $3.4 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $1.7 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $3.3 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, $4.5 \%$ of votes cast for URP with no impact on the votes cast for TNM are impacted.
25. Against this backdrop, if the ballot boxes affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 14,615 votes cast with a distribution of 46 votes for ANUG, 9,437 votes for APNU+AFC, 47 votes for CG, 5, 021 votes for PPP/C, 33 votes for PRP, 17 votes for TCI, 3 votes for TNM, and 11 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
26. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District Six clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results for District Six, East Berbice/Corentyne meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT SIX (6).

| Particulars | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. OF } \\ \text { BaLLOT } \\ \text { BOXES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { No. OF } \\ \text { ELECTORS } \end{array}$ | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL VOTES CAST |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ANUG |  | APNU + AFC |  | CG |  | PPP/C |  | PRP |  | TCI |  | TNM |  | URP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | votes | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { vOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | votes | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { vorEs } \\ \text { CAST } \end{array}$ | votes | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | votes | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | votes | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | votes | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | votes | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { cAST } \end{gathered}$ | votes | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | votes | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { \% of VOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{array}$ |  |
| actual count | 378 | 99,132 | 164 | 0.3\% | 20,399 | 31.6\% | 272 | 0.4\% | 43,440 | 67.3\% | 172 | 0.3\% | 60 | 0.09\% | 16 | 0.0\% | 44 | 0.07\% | 64,567 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 5 | 987 | 1 | 0.6\% | 502 | 2.5\% | 4 | 1.5\% | 127 | 0.3\% | 2 | 1.2\% | - | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 1 | 2.3\% | 637 | 1.0\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 6024, 6065, 6068, 6078, \& 6204. |
| ballot boxes WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 259 | 73,795 | 115 | 70.1\% | 10,263 | 50.3\% | 209 | 76.8\% | 36,820 | 84.8\% | 134 | 77.9\% | 41 | 68.3\% | 13 | 81.3\% | 30 | 68.2\% | 47,625 | 73.8\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 6001, 6002, 6006, 6007, 6009, 6010, 6011, 6012, 6013, 6014, 6015, 6016, 6017, 6018, 6019, 6021, 6022, 6023, 6029, 6030, 6031, 6032, 6033, 6034, 6036, 6038, 6039, 6042, $6044,6045,6047,6048,6053,6058,6066,6069,6070,6073,6074$, 6079, 6080, 6081, 6082, 6083, 6084, 6086, 6087, 6090, 6091, 6094, 6096, 6097, 6099, 6101, 6103, 6104, 6105, 6106, 6107, 6108, 6109, 6110, 6111, 6112, 6113, 6114, 6115, 6116, 6119, 6121, 6122, 6123, 6124, $6125,6126,6127,6128,6130,6134,6135,6136,6137,6138,6139,6140$, $6143,6144,6145,6146,6147,6148,6149,6150,6151,6152,6153,6154$, $6155,6156,6157,6158,6159,6160,6161,6162,6163,6167,6168,6169$, 6170, 6171, 6172, 6173, 6174, 6175, 6176, 6177, 6178, 6180, 6182, 6183, 6184, 6185, 6186, 6187, 6189, 6190, 6191, 6192, 6193, 6194, 6195, 6196, 6197, 6198, 6199, 6200, 6201, 6202, 6203, 6205, 6206, 6207, 6208, 6209, 6210, 6211, 6214, 6215, 6216, 6217, 6232, 6235, 6236, 6237, 6238, 6240, 6241, 6242, 6243, 6244, 6245, 6249, 6250, 6251, 6252, 6253, 6254, 6255, 6256, 6257, 6258, 6259, 6260, 6261, 6262, 6264, 6265, 6266, 6268, 6273, 6276, 6277, 6278, 6279, 6280, 6281, 6282, 6285, 6286, 6287, 6289, 6290, 6291, 6292, 6293, 6294, 6295, 6296, 6297, 6299, 6300, 6301, 6302, 6303, 6305, 6307, 6308, 6310, 6311, $6312,6313,6314,6315,6316,6317,6318,6319,6320,6323,6324,6325$, 6327, 6329, 6330, 6331, 6332, 6336, 6338, 6340, 6341, 6342, 6343, $6344,6345,6346,6347,6348,6349,6350,6351,6352,6353,6354$, 6355, 6356, 6357, 6358, 6359, 6360, 6361, 6362, 6363, 6364, 6366, $6367,6368,6370, \& 6372$. |
| ballot boxes with <br> anomalies/ <br> irRegularities / voter <br> impersonation | 10 | 2,702 | 2 | 1.2\% | 197 | 1.0\% | 12 | 4.4\% | 1,472 | 3.4\% | 3 | 1.7\% | 2 | 3.3\% |  | 0.0\% | 2 | 4.5\% | 1,690 | 2.6\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 6008, 6166, 6213, 6248, 6298, 6306, $6322,6326,6328, \& 6365$. |
| total of AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 274 | 77,484 | 118 | 72.0\% | 10,962 | 53.7\% | 225 | 82.7\% | 38,419 | 88.4\% | 139 | 80.8\% | 43 | 71.7\% | 13 | 81.3\% | 33 | 75.0\% | 49,952 | 77.4\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| bALLOT BOXES without ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 104 | 21,648 | 46 | 28.0\% | 9,437 | 46.3\% | 47 | 17.3\% | 5,021 | 11.6\% | 33 | 19.2\% | 17 | 28.3\% | 3 | 18.8\% | 11 | 25.0\% | 14,615 | 22.6\% |  |

anomalies/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSIIG CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA bALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT SEVEN

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2 nd March 2020 for Cuyuni/Mazaruni, District Seven that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of fourteen thousand, eight hundred and eighty-seven $(14,887)$ electors, which represents two (2) geographical constituency seats of the National Assembly was completed on 28th May 2020. A total of eighty-two (82) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 9,592 and 9,529 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, eighty-two (82) observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies/irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act (ROPA) Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include:
i. Thirty-four (34) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 4 (certificate of employment) were missing;
ii. Three hundred and ninety (390) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statues for electors voting without ID cards were missing and;
iii. Eighty-four (84) instances where extra ballot papers were found or missing from ballot boxes without the requisite documentation.
4. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were thirty-two (32) instances of voter impersonation. The party alleged that, based on their investigations in this region, these electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
5. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
6. A total of forty-six (46) ballot boxes stand affected due to a total of five hundred and forty (540) anomalies and/or alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $71 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections are associated with boxes that are impacted due to either anomalies and/or voter impersonation. Specifically, $40 \%$ of the votes cast were impacted by anomalies, while $2 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and $29 \%$ were impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
7. In District Seven, two (2) Poll books were recorded as missing and four hundred and seventy-six (476) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. Specifically, thirty-four (34) certificate of employment (Form 4) and three hundred and ninety (390) oaths of identity (Form 19) were not available to support entries in the poll books. As a consequence, it could not be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements.
8. Another procedural error is noteworthy; seven (7) ballots were rejected for want of official mark (unstamped ballots).
9. Potentially, a total of 3,805 votes in thirty-one (31) ballot boxes stand impacted by anomalies/irregularities; this represents $40 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Seven. These anomalies/irregularities impact 61\% of votes cast for ANUG, $38.6 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $32.8 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $56.1 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $36.7 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, and $56.5 \%$ of votes cast for URP.
10. On the other hand, a total of 227 votes in two (2) ballot boxes were impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $2 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District Seven. Although it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the two (2) ballot boxes, $2.6 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $3.3 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $3 \%$ of votes cast for CG, less than $1 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, and $1.7 \%$ of votes for PPP/C were impacted. Votes cast for URP were not impacted.
11. Additionally, there are 2,731 votes in thirteen (13) ballot boxes or $29 \%$ of votes cast in District Seven that were impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. Of the thirteen (13) ballot boxes, $11.7 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $\mathbf{2 6 . 9}$ \% of votes cast for APNU+AFC, 23.9 \% of votes cast for CG, $22.9 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, 32.3\% of votes for PPP/C, and 30.4\% of votes cast for URP were impacted. 12. Against this backdrop, if the ballot boxes that affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 2,829 votes cast with a distribution of 19 votes for ANUG, 1,501 votes for APNU+AFC, 27 votes for CG, 185 votes for LJP, 1,094 votes for PPP/C, and 3 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
12. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District Seven clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results for District Seven, Cuyuni/Mazaruni, meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT SEVEN (7).

| PARTICULARS | No. OF BALLOT BOXES | No. OF ELECTORS | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL VOTES CAST |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VOTES | CAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ANUG |  | APNU + AFC |  | CG |  | LJP |  | PPP/C |  | URP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST CAST | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST <br> CAST | VOTES | $\%$ of VOTES CAST | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST CAST | VOTES | \% of VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST CAST | VOTES | $\%$ of VOTES CAST |  |
| ACTUAL COUNT | 82 | 14,887 | 77 | 0.8\% | 4,813 | 50.2\% | 67 | 0.7\% | 884 | 9.2\% | 3,728 | 38.9\% | 23 | 0.24\% | 9,592 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 31 | 6,011 | 47 | 61.0\% | 1,860 | 38.6\% | 22 | 32.8\% | 496 | 56.1\% | 1,367 | 36.7\% | 13 | 56.5\% | 3,805 | 39.7\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 7007, 7008, 7009, 7010, 7011, 7012, 7013, 7017, 7021, 7023, 7024, 7029, 7039, 7041, 7046, 7047, 7052, 7054, 7057, 7058, 7059, 7060, 7061, 7068, 7072, 7074, 7075, 7075, $7077,7078,7079, \& 7080$. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 2 | 339 | 2 | 2.6\% | 158 | 3.3\% | 2 | 3.0\% | 1 | 0.1\% | 64 | 1.7\% | - | 0.0\% | 227 | 2.4\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 7040 \& 7048. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 13 | 4,310 | 9 | 11.7\% | 1,294 | 26.9\% | 16 | 23.9\% | 202 | 22.9\% | 1,203 | 32.3\% | 7 | 30.4\% | 2,731 | 28.5\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 7031, 7034, 7035, 7036, 7037, 7042, 7043, 7045, 7055, 7065, 7066, 7067, \& 7073. |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 46 | 10,660 | 58 | 75.3\% | 3,312 | 68.8\% | 40 | 59.7\% | 699 | 79.1\% | 2,634 | 70.7\% | 20 | 87.0\% | 6,763 | 70.5\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| BALLOT BOXES <br> WITHOUT <br> ANOMALIES/ <br> IRREGULARITIES <br> or VOTER <br> IMPERSONATION | 36 | 4,227 | 19 | 24.7\% | 1,501 | 31.2\% | 27 | 40.3\% | 185 | 20.9\% | 1,094 | 29.3\% | 3 | 13.0\% | 2,829 | 29.5\% |  |

ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT EIGHT

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for Potaro/Siparuni, District Eight that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of seven thousand, four hundred and thirty-one $(7,431)$ electors, which represents one (1) geographical constituency seat of the National Assembly was completed on 30th May 2020. A total of fifty-five (55) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 4,665 and 4,644 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, fifty-five (55) observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies/irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include:
i. Twenty-one (21) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 4 (certificate of employment) were missing;
ii. One hundred and eighty-two (182) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing and;
iii. Eight (8) instances where extra ballot papers were found or missing from ballot boxes without the requisite documentation.
4. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were fifty-two (52) instances of voter impersonation. The party alleged that based on their investigations in this region; there were two (2) instances where deceased persons appeared to have voted and fifty (50) instances where electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
5. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
6. A total of twenty-three (23) ballot boxes stand affected due to a total of two hundred and sixty-three (263) anomalies and/or alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $54 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections were associated with boxes that stand to be impacted due to either anomalies and/or voter impersonation. Specifically, $24 \%$ of the votes cast were impacted by anomalies, while $11 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and $18 \%$ were impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
7. In District Eight, one (1) Poll book was recorded as missing, and there were two hundred and five (205) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. Specifically, twenty-one (21) certificates of employment (Form 4) and one hundred and eighty-two (182) oaths of identity (Form 19) were not available to support entries in the poll books. As a consequence, it could not be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements.
8. Potentially, a total of 1,127 votes in twelve (12) ballot boxes stand to be impacted by anomalies and irregularities; this represents $24 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Eight. These anomalies and irregularities impact 29.3\% of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $9.6 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $22 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, and $9 \%$ of votes cast for TNM.
9. On the other hand, a total of 533 votes in six (6) ballot boxes were impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $11 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District Eight. Although it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the six (6) ballot boxes, $9.5 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $6.4 \%$ of votes for LJP, $14 \%$ of votes cast for PPP/C, and $27.3 \%$ of votes cast for TNM were impacted.
10. Additionally, there were 847 votes in five (5) ballot boxes or $18 \%$ of votes cast in District Eight that are impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. Of the five (5) ballot boxes, $23 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $16 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $13.5 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, and $27.3 \%$ of votes cast for TNM were impacted.
11. Against this backdrop, if the ballot boxes that affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 2,158 votes cast with a distribution of 820 votes for APNU+AFC, 306 votes for LJP, 1,028 votes for PPP/C, and 4 votes for TNM. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
12. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District Eight clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results for District Eight, Potaro/Siparuni meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT EIGHT (8).

| PARTICULARS | No. OF BALLOT BOXES | No. OF ELECTORS | CONTESTING PARTIESVOTES CAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL VOTES CAST |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | APNU + AFC |  | LJP |  | PPP/C |  | TNM |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c} \% \text { of VOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{array}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | vOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { vOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST |  |
| ACTUAL COUNT | 55 | 7,431 | 2,152 | 46.1\% | 450 | 9.6\% | 2,052 | 44.0\% | 11 | 0.2\% | 4,665 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 12 | 1,825 | 631 | 29.3\% | 43 | 9.6\% | 452 | 22.0\% | 1 | 9.1\% | 1,127 | 24.2\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 8001, 8011, 8014, 8026, 8028, 8030, 8033, 8036, 8039, 8040, 8047, \& 8050. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 6 | 1,010 | 205 | 9.5\% | 29 | 6.4\% | 296 | 14.4\% | 3 | 27.3\% | 533 | 11.4\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED:8004, 8005, 8023, $8025,8049, \& 8054$. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 5 | 1,286 | 496 | 23.0\% | 72 | 16.0\% | 276 | 13.5\% | 3 | 27.3\% | 847 | 18.2\% | BALLOT BOX AFFECTED: $8008,8012,8015,8037$, \& 8038 . |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 23 | 4,121 | 1,332 | 61.9\% | 144 | 32.0\% | 1,024 | 49.9\% | 7 | 63.6\% | 2,507 | 53.7\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITHOUT ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES or VOTER IMPERSONATION | 32 | 3,310 | 820 | 38.1\% | 306 | 68.0\% | 1,028 | 50.1\% | 4 | 36.4\% | 2,158 | 46.3\% |  |

NOTE:
TABLE 1
ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT NINE

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for Upper Takatu/Upper Essequibo, District Nine that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of seventeen thousand, seven hundred and seventy-one $(17,771)$ electors, which represents one (1) geographical constituency seat of the National Assembly was completed on 1st June 2020. A total of seventy-three (73) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 12,261 and 12,228 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, seventy-three (73) observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies/irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include:
i. Twenty-six (26) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 4 (certificate of employment) were missing;
ii. Four hundred and eight (408) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing and;
iii. Six (6) instances where extra ballot papers were found or missing from ballot boxes without the requisite documentation.
4. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were one hundred and eighty (180) instances of voter impersonation. The party alleged that based on their investigations in this region; there was one (1) instance where a deceased person appeared to have voted and one hundred and seventy-nine (179) instances where electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
5. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
6. A total of fifty-two (52) ballot boxes stand affected due to a total of four hundred and forty-one (441) anomalies and/or alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $80 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections are associated with boxes that stand to be impacted due to either anomalies and/or voter impersonation. Specifically, $17 \%$ of the votes cast were impacted by anomalies, while $14 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and $49 \%$ were impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
7. In District Nine, there were four hundred and thirty-five (435) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. Specifically, twenty-six (26) certificates of employment (Form 4) and four hundred and eight (408) oaths of identity (Form 19) were not available to support entries in the poll books. As a consequence, it could not be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements.
8. Another procedural error is noteworthy, two (2) ballots were rejected for want of official mark (unstamped ballots).
9. Potentially, a total of 2,096 votes in thirteen (13) ballot boxes stand to be impacted by anomalies/irregularities; this represents $17 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Nine. These anomalies and irregularities impact 12.8\% of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $12.6 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $20.2 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, and $18.5 \%$ of votes cast for URP.
10. On the other hand, a total of 1,729 votes in ten (10) ballot boxes were impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $14 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District Nine. Although it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the 10 ballot boxes, $16.5 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $20.9 \%$ of votes cast for LJP, $12.2 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, and $11.1 \%$ of votes cast for URP are impacted.
11. Additionally, a total of 6,004 votes in twenty-nine (29) ballot boxes or $49 \%$ of votes cast in District Nine that were impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. Of the 29 ballot boxes, $56.9 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $50.5 \%$
of votes cast for LJP, 43.4\% of votes for PPP/C, and $55.6 \%$ of votes cast for URP were impacted.
12. Against this backdrop, if the ballot boxes affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 2,432 votes cast with a distribution of 677 votes for APNU+AFC, 44 votes for LJP, 1,707 votes for PPP/C, and 4 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
13. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District Nine clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results for District Nine, Upper Takatu/Upper Essequibo meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT NINE (9).

| PARTICULARS | $\begin{array}{c}\text { No. OF } \\ \text { BALLOT } \\ \text { BOXES }\end{array}$ | No. OF ELECTORS | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL VOTES CAST |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | VOTES | CAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | APNU + AFC |  | LJP |  | PPP/C |  | URP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { vOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { voTES } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VOTES | \% of vOTES CAST <br> CAST | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { votes } \\ \text { CAST } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST |  |
| ACTUAL COUNT | 73 | 17,771 | 4,887 | 39.9\% | 277 | 2.3\% | 7,070 | 57.7\% | 27 | 0.2\% | 12,261 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 13 | 3,094 | 625 | 12.8\% | 35 | 12.6\% | 1,431 | 20.2\% | 5 | 18.5\% | 2,096 | 17.1\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 9018, 9020, 9022, 9023, 9027, 9029, 9035, 9053, 9055, 9063, 9067, 9069, \& 9071. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 10 | 2,468 | 804 | 16.5\% | 58 | 20.9\% | 864 | 12.2\% | 3 | 11.1\% | 1,729 | 14.1\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 9003, 9011, 9012, 9013, 9017, 9021, 9028, 9036, 9060, \& 9073. |
| BALLOT BOXES <br> WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 29 | 8,883 | 2,781 | 56.9\% | 140 | 50.5\% | 3,068 | 43.4\% | 15 | 55.6\% | 6,004 | 49.0\% | BALLOT BOX AFFECTED: 9014, 9015, 9016, 9019, 9030, 9032, 9033, 9034, 9037, 9038, 9039, 9040, 9041, 9042, 9043, 9044, 9045, 9046, 9047, 9048, 9049, 9051, 9052, 9054, 9064, 9065, 9066, 9068, \& 9072. |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 52 | 14,445 | 4,210 | 86.1\% | 233 | 84.1\% | 5,363 | 75.9\% | 23 | 85.2\% | 9,829 | 80.2\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION |
| BALLOT BOXES <br> WITHOUT <br> ANOMALIES/ <br> IRREGULARITIES or VOTER <br> IMPERSONATION | 21 | 3,326 | 677 | 13.9\% | 44 | 15.9\% | 1,707 | 24.1\% | 4 | 14.8\% | 2,432 | 19.8\% |  |

## NOTE:

ANOMALIES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, OATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

## SUMMARY OF OBSERVATION REPORTS FOR DISTRICT TEN

1. The recounting of votes cast on 2nd March 2020 for Upper Demerara/Berbice, District Ten that has a voting population on the Official List of Electors (OLE) of thirty-three thousand eight hundred and eight $(33,808)$ electors, which represents three (2) geographical constituency seats of the National Assembly was completed on 4th June 2020. A total of one hundred and twenty-five (125) ballots boxes were recounted, resulting in a total of 22,747 and 22,733 votes tabulated for the contesting parties in the General and Regional elections, respectively.
2. Upon the conclusion of the recount process, one hundred and twenty-five (125) observation reports were compiled in a matrix by ballot boxes, summarising anomalies/irregularities, and allegations of voter impersonation. Anomalies/irregularities are breaches of polling procedures outlined in the Representation of the People Act Cap 1:03 and the official manual for Presiding Officers and other Polling Day Officials (Revised 2019). Voter impersonation refers to instances where votes were cast in the names of deceased persons or in names of electors who were not themselves personally present to cast their ballots on 2 nd March 2020.
3. The anomalies/irregularities include:
i. Forty (40) instances where Form 19 (oath of identity) that is required by the statutes for electors voting without ID cards were missing;
ii. Ten (10) instances where extra ballot papers were found or missing from ballot boxes without the requisite documentation and;
iii. Two (2) instances where evidence to validate the usage of Form 6 (Appointment of Proxy) was missing.
4. Recorded in the Observation Reports were allegations made by a contesting party that there were fifty-one (51) instances of voter impersonation. The party alleged that, based on their investigations in this region, these electors who are alleged to be out of the jurisdiction were recorded as having voted.
5. In respect of the allegations of voter impersonation, responses from the Chief Immigration Officer and review of the General Registrar's Office Deceased Reports confirmed that these were of substance.
6. A total of twenty-two (22) ballot boxes stands affected due to a total of one hundred and three (103) anomalies and alleged voter impersonation. In other words, approximately $17 \%$ of all votes cast for general elections are associated with boxes that stand to be impacted due to either anomalies and/or voter impersonation. Specifically, $5 \%$ of the votes cast were impacted by anomalies, while $11 \%$ were impacted by voter impersonation, and $1 \%$ impacted by both anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation.
7. In District Ten, there were fifty-two (52) instances where the evidence of polling activities was not recorded in the available poll books. Specifically, forty (40) oaths of identity (Form 19) and two (2) Appointment of Proxies (Form 6) were not available to support entries in the poll books. As a consequence, it could not be reconciled that electors who cast ballots in these cases met the statutory requirements. Also, there were two (2) cases of extra ballots or missing ballots from ballot boxes.
8. Potentially, a total of 1,022 votes in five (5) ballot boxes stand to be impacted by anomalies/irregularities; this represents $5 \%$ of all votes cast for List of Candidates in District Ten. These anomalies/irregularities impact $4.7 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $4.5 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $2.8 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $4.3 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $23.4 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $2.7 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, and $12.5 \%$ of votes cast for TNM. Votes cast for URP were not impacted.
9. On the other hand, a total of 2,538 votes in fifteen (15) ballot boxes were impacted by allegations of voter impersonation, or $11 \%$ percent of all the votes cast in District Ten. Although it cannot be ascertained who perpetrated the acts of voter impersonation, evidence points to its impact on each List of Candidates. Of the fifteen (15) ballot boxes, $11.7 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $9.1 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $16.5 \%$ of votes cast for CG, $23.3 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, $8.5 \%$ of votes cast for PRP, $10.8 \%$ of votes cast for TCI, and $36 \%$ of votes cast for URP. Votes cast for TNM were not impacted.
10. Additionally, there were two (2) ballot boxes or $1 \%$ of votes cast in District Ten that were impacted by both irregularities and voter impersonation. Of the two (2) ballot boxes, $0.6 \%$ of votes cast for ANUG, $0.7 \%$ of votes cast for APNU+AFC, $1.8 \%$ of votes cast for CG, and $5.6 \%$ of votes for PPP/C, and $2.7 \%$ of votes cast for TCI. Votes cast for TNM, and URP were not impacted.
11. Against this backdrop, if the ballot boxes that are affected by the anomalies/irregularities and voter impersonation are extracted from the process, the total will be 18,874 votes cast with a distribution of 142 votes for ANUG, 16,436 votes for APNU+AFC, 86 votes for CG, 2,112 votes for PPP/C, 30 votes for PRP, 31 votes for TCI, 14 votes for TNM, and 23 votes for URP. A detailed statistical presentation of the categories of anomalies documented is summarized in Table 1 and detailed in Table 2 (Broadsheet) annexed to this report.
12. Finally, the summation of anomalies and instances of voter impersonation identified in District Nine clearly does not appear to satisfy the criteria of impartiality, fairness, and compliance with provisions of the Constitution and the ROPA Cap 1:03. Consequently, on the basis of the votes counted and the information furnished from the recount, it cannot be ascertained that the results for District Ten, Upper Demerara/Berbice, meet the standard of fair and credible elections.

TABLE SHOWING VOTES CAST PER LIST OF CANDIDATES AND THE IMPACT OF ANOMALIES AND VOTER IMPERSONATION FOR DISTRICT TEN (10).

| PARTICULARS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No. OF } \\ \text { BALLOT } \\ \text { BOXES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. OF } \\ \text { ELECTORS } \end{gathered}$ | CONTESTING PARTIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL VOTES CAST |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VOTES | CAST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ANUG |  | APNU + AFC |  | CG |  | PPP/C |  | PRP |  | TCI |  | TNM |  | URP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | VOTES | \% of <br> VOTES <br> CAST | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { VOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{array}$ | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { VOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { VOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of } \\ \text { VOTES } \\ \text { CAST } \end{array}$ | VOTES | $\%$ of VOTES CAST | VOTES | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \% \text { of } \\ \text { VOTES } \end{array} \\ \text { CAST } \end{gathered}$ | VOTES | \% of VOTES CAST |  |
| ACTUALCOUNT | 125 | 33,808 | 171 | 0.8\% | 19,169 | 84.3\% | 109 | 0.5\% | 3,162 | 13.9\% | 47 | 0.2\% | 37 | 0.16\% | 16 | 0.1\% | 36 | 0.16\% | 22,747 |  | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES | 5 | 1,465 | 8 | 4.7\% | 860 | 4.5\% | 3 | 2.8\% | 137 | 4.3\% | 11 | 23.4\% | 1 | 2.7\% | 2 | 12.5\% | - | 0.0\% | 1,022 | 4.5\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 0040, 0074, 0080 , $0097, \& 0103$. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH VOTER IMPERSONATION | 15 | 3,685 | 20 | 11.7\% | 1,743 | 9.1\% | 18 | 16.5\% | 736 | 23.3\% | 4 | 8.5\% | 4 | 10.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 13 | 36.1\% | 2,538 | 11.2\% | BALLOT BOXES AFFECTED: 0005, 0007, 0008, $0014,0019,0023,0036,0038,0039,0044,0046$, $0049,0065,0114, \& 0123$. |
| BALLOT BOXES WITH ANOMALIES/ IRREGULARITIES \& VOTER IMPERSONATION | 2 | 489 | 1 | 0.6\% | 130 | 0.7\% | 2 | 1.8\% | 177 | 5.6\% | 2 | 4.3\% | 1 | 2.7\% | - | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 313 | 1.4\% | BALLOT BOX AFFECTED: 0006 \& 0033. |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AFFECTED BALLOT BOXES | 22 | 5,639 | 29 | 17.0\% | 2,733 | 14.3\% | 23 | 21.1\% | 1,050 | 33.2\% | 17 | 36.2\% | 6 | 16.2\% | 2 | 12.5\% | 13 | 36.1\% | 3,873 | 17.0\% | TOTAL VOTES AND RESULTS AS A PERCENTAGE PER LIST OF CANDIDATES IMPACTED BY THE ANOMALES/IRREGULARITES and/or VOTER IMPERSONATION. |
| BALLOT BOXES <br> WITHOUT <br> ANOMALIES/ <br> IRREGULARITIES <br> or VOTER <br> IMPERSONATION | 103 | 28,169 | 142 | 83.0\% | 16,436 | 85.7\% | 86 | 78.9\% | 2,112 | 66.8\% | 30 | 63.8\% | 31 | 83.8\% | 14 | 87.5\% | 23 | 63.89\% | 18,874 | 83.0\% |  |

## NOTE:

ANOMALES/IRREGULARITIES:- MISSING CERTIFICATES OF EMPLOYMENT, 0ATHS OF IDENTITY, \& EXTRA BALLOTS
VOTER IMPERSONATION:- DECEASED OR MIGRATED/OUT OF JURISDICTION ELECTORS WHO APPEAR TO HAVE VOTED

